SOLICITOR

Ž.			
P.	S. Patent & Trademark (1978) O. Box 1450 ria, VA 22313-1450	OCT	REPORT ON THE REPORT ON THE THATEMARK SHALING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
•	iance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.s istrict CourtNorthern District	Ì	1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been alifornia on the following X Patents or Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.Ş	. DISTRICT COURT
CV 09-04612 HRL	9/30/2009	1 1	280 North First Stree, Rm 2112, San Jose, CA 95113 DEFENDANT
WORDTECH SYSTE	MS, INC.		MICROBOARDS MANUFACTURING LLC, ET AL
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 6,141,298			SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT
2 6,532,198			
3 6,822,932		<u> </u>	
4 7,145,841	ļ	11	
5			
<u>`</u>	ve—entitled case, the following pa	atent(s	s) have been included:
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	ndmen	t
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	<u> </u>	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		<u> </u>	
2	 		
3	ļ	 	
4		<u> </u>	
5			
In the abov	ve—entitled case, the following de	ecision	n has been rendered or judgement issued:
DECISION/JUDGEMENT			
CLERK	(BY)	DEPI	JTY CLERK DATE
Richard W.	j ' '		Betty Walton
	ſ		- I

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 2	CHRISTIAN J. MARTINEZ (CA State Bar No. 215360) 2500 Dean Lesher Drive, Suite A Concord, CA 94520
3	Telephone: (925) 689-1200
	cmartinez@copypro.com ORICIA
4	Facsimile: (925) 689-1263 cmartinez@copypro.com RICHARD ESTY PETERSON (State Bar No. 41013) 1905-D Palmetto Avenue Pacifica, CA 94044 SEP 3 0 2000
5	1905-D Palmetto Avenue Pacifica, CA 94044 Telephone: (650) 557-5708 CLaire 1
6	Facsimile: (650) 557-5716
7	sfreptile@mac.com
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, WORDTECH SYSTEMS, INC.
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	
13	WORDTECH SYSTEMS INC., Case No.:
14	Plaintiff,
15	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
16	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
17	MICROBOARDS MANUFACTURING, L.L.C., MICROBOARDS TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C., OCTAVE SYSTEMS, INC., and DOES 1-50;
18	
19	Defendants.
20	
21	Plaintiff, WORDTECH SYSTEMS Inc. ("WORDTECH"), a California corporation, in and
22	through its attorneys, alleges:
. 23	THE PARTIES
24	1. Plaintiff, WORDTECH is a corporation organized under the laws to the State of California with its
25	principal place of business located at Concord, California.
26	2. On information and belief, defendant MICROBOARDS MANUFACTURING, L.L.C. is a Limited
27	Liability Company doing business in the State of California with its principal place of business in
28	Salida, CA.

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL (Case No.

1	3.	On information and belief, defendant MICROBOARDS TECHNOLOGY, L.L.C. is a Limited
2		Liability Company doing business in the State of Minnesota with its principal place of business in
3		Chanhassen, MN. MICROBOARDS MANUFACTURING, L.L.C. and MICROBOARDS
4		TECHNOLOGY L.L.C. will be collectively referred to as "MICROBOARDS".
5	4.	On information and belief, Octave Systems, Inc. ("OCTAVE"), is a California Corporation doing
6	İ	business in the State of California with its principal place of business in Campbell, CA.
7	5.	WORDTECH is ignorant of the true names or capacities of the defendants sued herein under the
8		fictitious names of DOES 1 -50 inclusive. WORDTECH will amend this Complaint to allege their
9		true names and capacities when ascertained. WORDTECH is informed and believes and thereon
10		alleges that each of the fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the
11		occurrences herein alleged, and that WORDTECH's injuries as alleged herein were proximately
12		caused by the wrongful conduct of these fictitiously named defendants.
13	6.	WORDTECH is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times herein, OCTAVE and
14		MICROBOARDS (Collectively, "DEFENDANTS") were the agents, servants, and /or employees
15		of their codefendants and in doing the things alleged herein were acting within the course and
16		scope of their authority with the permission and consent of their codefendants.
17		JURISDICTION AND VENUE
18	7.	This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S. C. §§ 271, 281, and 283-285.
19	8.	Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
20	9.	Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S. C. §§ 1391(b) and 1391(c) and/or 1400(b).
21		INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT
22	10	
23	10.	This is an Intellectual Property Action under this Court's Assignment Plan, and therefore
24		assignment to any Division of the Court is proper per local rules.
25		BACKGROUND
26	11.	On October 31, 2000, the U.S. Patent Office issued U.S. Patent No. 6,141,298, entitled
27		Programmable Self-Operating Compact Disk Duplication System, listing David Miller as the

inventor ("Patent '298"). A true and correct copy of Patent '298 is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

28

1	12. On March 11, 2003, the U.S. Patent Office issued a patent, U.S. Patent No. 6,532,198 entitled
2	Programmable Self-Operating Compact Disk Duplication System, listing David Miller as the
3	inventor ("Patent '198). A true and correct copy of Patent '198 is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
4	13. On or about November 23, 2004, the U.S. Patent Office issued a patent, U.S. Patent No. 6,822,932
5	entitled Programmable Self-Operating Compact Disk Duplication System, listing David Miller as
6	the inventor ("Patent '932). A true and correct copy of Patent '932 is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
7	14. On or about November 23, 2004, the U.S. Patent Office issued a patent, U.S. Patent No. 7,145,841
8	entitled Programmable Self-Operating Compact Disk Duplication System, listing David Miller as
9	the inventor ("Patent '841). A true and correct copy of Patent '841 is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
10	15. WORDTECH is sole and exclusive owner by assignment by the inventor, David Miller, of all
11	rights, title, and interest in Patents '298, '198, '932, and '841, and has the right to bring this suit for
12	damages and injunctive relief. True and correct copies of the assignments are attached hereto as
13	Exhibit 5.
14	16. Patents '298, '198, '932, and '841 relate to a Programmable Self-Operating Compact Disk
15	Duplication System, including, inter alia, five basic elements: (1) At least one disk copying drive;
16	(2) disk stackers; (3) A rotary transport tower with a vertical axis; (4) vertically positionable disk
17	picker that is rotatable about the vertical axis; and (5) Some ability to control the actions above, or
18	any device that is covered by one or more claims of one or more of the Patents.
19	17. WORDTECH has complied with the marking requirements as set forth in Title 35 U.S.C. §287.
20	COUNT I
21	(Infringement of U.S. Patent 6,141,298)
22	18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1-17 above, inclusive, by this reference.
23	19. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS, without a license from WORDTECH, are in the
24	business of, inter alia, making, using, selling, importing and/or offering to sell programmable self-
25	operating compact disc duplication systems ("DEVICES") that have each of the elements of and
26	infringe one or more claims of the '298 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
27	20. On information and belief, by making, using, selling, importing and or offering to sell DEVICES,
28	DEFENDANTS have directly and contributorily infringed and have actively induced others to

) - 3

1	infringe and will continue to directly and contributorily infringe and actively induce others to
2	infringe one or more of the claims of Patent '298 under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), (c) and/or (f)
3	literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
4	21. DEFENDANTS have been informed that a license was needed under Patent '298.
5	22. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS' infringement of Patent '298 has been and continues to
6	be willful, deliberate and objectively reckless entitling WORDTECH to increased damages under
7	35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C
8	§ 285.
9	23. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of DEFENDANTS, plaintiff
10	WORDTECH has been, is being, and continues to be damaged; unless such acts and practices of
11	defendant are enjoined by the Court, plaintiff will continue to be injured in its business and
12	property rights and has suffered and is suffering and will continue to suffer injury and damages for
13	which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S. C. § 284.
14	COUNT II
15	(Infringement of U.S. Patent 6,532,198)
16	24. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1-23 above, inclusive, by this reference.
17	25. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS, without a license from WORDTECH, are in the
18	business of, inter alia, making, using, selling, importing and/or offering to sell DEVICES that have
19	each of the elements of and infringe one or more claims of the '198 patent, literally or under the
20	doctrine of equivalents.
21	26. On information and belief, by making, using, selling, importing and or offering to sell DEVICES,
22	DEFENDANTS have directly and contributorily infringed and have actively induced others to
23	infringe and will continue to directly and contributorily infringe and actively induce others to
24	infringe one or more of the claims of Patent '198 under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), (c) and/or (f)
25	literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
26	27. DEFENDANTS have been informed that a license was needed under Patent '198.
27	
21	28. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS' infringement of Patent '198 has been and continues to

1	35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C
2	§ 285.
3	29. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of DEFENDANTS, plaintiff
4	WORDTECH has been, is being, and continues to be damaged; unless such acts and practices of
5	defendant are enjoined by the Court, plaintiff will continue to be injured in its business and
6	property rights and has suffered and is suffering and will continue to suffer injury and damages for
7	which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S. C. § 284.
8	COUNT III
9	(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,822,932)
10	30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1-29 above, inclusive, by this reference.
11	31. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS, without a license from WORDTECH, are in the
12	business of, inter alia, making, using, selling, importing and/or offering to sell DEVICES that have
13	each of the elements of and infringe one or more claims of the '932 patent, literally or under the
14	doctrine of equivalents.
15	32. On information and belief, by making, using, selling, importing and or offering to sell DEVICES,
16	DEFENDANTS have directly and contributorily infringed and have actively induced others to
17	infringe and will continue to directly and contributorily infringe and actively induce others to
18	infringe one or more of the claims of Patent '932 under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), (c) and/or (f)
19	literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.
20	33. DEFENDANTS have been informed that a license was needed under Patent '932.
21	34. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS' infringement of Patent '932 has been and continues to
22	be willful, deliberate and objectively reckless entitling WORDTECH to increased damages under
23	35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C
24	§ 285.
25	35. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of DEFENDANTS, plaintiff
26	WORDTECH has been, is being, and continues to be damaged; unless such acts and practices of
27	defendant are enjoined by the Court, plaintiff will continue to be injured in its business and
28	property rights and has suffered and is suffering and will continue to suffer injury and damages for

which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S. C. § 284. **COUNT IV** (Infringement of Patent 7,145,841) 36. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs 1-35 above, inclusive, by this reference. 37. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS, without a license from WORDTECH, are in the business of, inter alia, making, using, selling, importing and/or offering to sell DEVICES that have each of the elements of and infringe one or more claims of the '841 patent, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 38. On information and belief, by making, using, selling, importing and or offering to sell DEVICES, DEFENDANTS have directly and contributorily infringed and have actively induced others to infringe and will continue to directly and contributorily infringe and actively induce others to infringe one or more of the claims of Patent '841 under 35 U.S.C. § 271 (a), (b), (c) and/or (f) literally or under the doctrine of equivalents. 39. DEFENDANTS have been informed that a license was needed under Patent '841. 40. On information and belief, DEFENDANTS' infringement of Patent '841 has been and continues to be willful, deliberate and objectively reckless entitling WORDTECH to increased damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285. 41. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of DEFENDANTS, plaintiff WORDTECH has been, is being, and continues to be damaged; unless such acts and practices of defendant are enjoined by the Court, plaintiff will continue to be injured in its business and property rights and has suffered and is suffering and will continue to suffer injury and damages for which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S.C. § 284. PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for the entry of a judgment from this Court: a. Declaring that United States Patent No. 6,141,298 was duly and legally issued, and is valid and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

enforceable;

b. Declaring that United States Patent No. 6,532,198 was duly and legally issued, and is valid and

1	l	enforceable,
2	c.	Declaring that United States Patent No. 6,822,932 was duly and legally issued, and is valid and
3		enforceable;
4	d.	Declaring that United States Patent No. 7,145,841 was duly and legally issued, and is valid and
5		enforceable;
6	e.	Declaring that DEFENDANTS collectively and individually have directly infringed, contributorily
7		infringed, and/or induced infringement of one or more claims of Patents '298, '198, '932, and '841;
8	f.	Declaring that DEFENDANTS have collectively and individually acted with objective recklessness
9		and willfully infringed one or more claims of Patents '298, '198, 932, and 841, entitling Plaintiff to
10		enhanced damages;
11	g.	Deeming this to be an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Plaintiff
12		WORDTECH, to an award of its reasonable attorneys' fees expense and costs in this action from
13		DEFENDANTS; and
14	h.	Preliminarily and permanently enjoining DEFENDANTS, and its respective officers, agents,
15		servants employees, and attorneys and those persons in active concert or participation with them
16		who receive notice of the order by personal service or otherwise, from committing further acts of
17		infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271 of one or more claims of Patents '298, '198, '932, and '841
18		pursuant to 35 U.S. C § 283;
19	i.	Awarding Plaintiff damages in accordance with 35 U.S. C. § 284;
20	j.	Awarding Plaintiff its costs in connection with this action;
21	k.	Awarding Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court may deem to be just and proper.
22		JURY DEMAND
23	Pla	aintiff requests a trial by jury of all issues so triable.
24		
25	DA	ATE: September 28, 2009 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
26		that ! Morting
27		Christian J. Martinez Attorney for Plaintiff,
28	}	Wordtech Systems, Inc.